

IFUP-TH-32/96
 UMD-PP-96-108
 hep-ph/9606408
 June, 1996

A Non-supersymmetric Interpretation of the CDF $e^+e^-\gamma\gamma + \not{E}_T$ Event

Gautam Bhattacharyya^{1*} and Rabindra N. Mohapatra² †

¹*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pisa
 and INFN, Sezione di Pisa, I-56126 Pisa, Italy*

²*Department of Physics, University of Maryland,
 College Park, Maryland 20742, USA*

Abstract

The $e^+e^-\gamma\gamma + \not{E}_T$ event reported recently by the CDF Collaboration has been interpreted as a signal of supersymmetry in several recent papers. In this article, we report on an alternative non-supersymmetric interpretation of the event using an extension of the standard model which contains new physics at the electroweak scale that does not effect the existing precision electroweak data. We extend the standard model by including an extra sequential generation of fermions, heavy right-handed neutrinos for all generations and an extra singly charged SU(2)-singlet Higgs boson. We discuss possible ways to discriminate this from the standard supersymmetric interpretations.

*gautam@ipifidpt.difi.unipi.it

†rmohapatra@umdhep.umd.edu

The Fermilab CDF collaboration[1] has recently reported an event which contains a hard electron-positron pair with two hard photons and missing transverse energy. The standard model (SM) background for this event is negligible; therefore, if more events like this are obtained further, it will indeed signal the existence of new physics beyond the SM. In two recent papers [2, 3], it has been proposed that this single event is consistent with a supersymmetric interpretation when e.g. $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \tilde{e}_R \tilde{\bar{e}}_R$ with either (i) $\tilde{e}_R \rightarrow e + \tilde{\gamma}$ followed by $\tilde{\gamma} \rightarrow \gamma + \tilde{G}$ or (ii) $\tilde{e}_R \rightarrow e + \chi_2$ followed by $\chi_2 \rightarrow \chi_1 + \gamma$ (\tilde{G} denotes a massless goldstino in the gauge mediated low energy supersymmetry-breaking scenario and $\chi_{1,2}$ denote the lightest and the second lightest neutralino respectively). Clearly, this has given further boost to the activities in the area of supersymmetry (SUSY) which already enjoys a number of theoretical advantages in terms of understanding the puzzles of the SM. While, such $e^+e^-\gamma\gamma + \not{E}_T$ (or for that matter $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma\gamma + \not{E}_T$ if they appear) receives a natural interpretation in terms of SUSY, before one can be completely sure about this, one must rule out any other reasonable non-supersymmetric interpretation. The purpose of this note is to point out that the reported experimental features of the single $e^+e^-\gamma\gamma + \not{E}_T$ can be obtained in a simple weak scale extension of the SM without invoking SUSY. While the model we present is completely consistent with all known low energy data and could easily be a viable model of particle physics at the electroweak scale, our goal is more to present it as a possible alternative to SUSY that can fake the CDF signal. If more such ‘zoo event’ accumulate, an experimental discrimination is necessary before one can accept *prima facie* that SUSY is manifesting.

The model we propose is based on the SM gauge group $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$. In addition to the particles of the SM, it contains (i) an extra sequential generation denoted by $Q_4 \equiv (t', b')_L, t'_R, b'_R, L_4 \equiv (N, E)_L, N_R, E_R$, (ii) right-handed

$SU(2)$ -singlet neutrinos (ν_{iR}) corresponding to the first three generations and (iii) a singly charged $SU(2)$ -singlet scalar denoted by $\eta (\equiv \eta^\pm)$ which can only couple to L_4 and not to Q_4 . It may be noted that a heavy sequential generation of degenerate fermions contributes $+2/3\pi$ to the oblique electroweak parameter S and with the present precision of electroweak data one complete sequential generation can still be accommodated [4]. The fermions of the fourth generation are kept heavy enough so that they do not effect any other consequence of the SM. The relevant part of the new Yukawa Lagrangian of the model looks like

$$\begin{aligned} L_Y^{new} = & f_i \eta^+ l_{iR} \nu_{iR} + f'_i \eta^+ l_{iR} N_R + f_{4i} \eta^+ E_R \nu_{iR} + f_{Ei} \eta^+ L_4 L_i \\ & + f_{ij} \eta^+ L_i L_j + h L_i H \nu_{iR} + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $l_i = e, \mu, \tau$; the subscript i, j also go over e, μ, τ ; L_4 and L_i in the above equation denote the $SU(2)_L$ -doublet part of the fourth- and the first three- generations respectively. In the first term in the Lagrangian, we have kept only the diagonal terms for simplicity. To start with, let us assume that $i = e$, i.e. new physics couples only to the first generation, except for f_{ij} where antisymmetry in the indices imply $j = \mu$ or τ . ν_{iR} have large Majorana masses in the ~ 65 GeV region. The smallness of the left-handed SM neutrino masses can be explained by adjusting the off-diagonal Dirac masses invoking the usual see-saw mechanism. We will show below that if $M_{E,N} > M_\eta > M_{\nu_{eR}}$ are satisfied and if f_{ij} is vanishingly small, then in a hadron collider, one can pair produce η by gauge interactions with $\eta \rightarrow e_R \nu_{eR}$ followed by $\nu_{eR} \rightarrow \nu_e + \gamma$. To explain the kinematics of the $e^+ e^- \gamma\gamma + \cancel{E}_T$ event, we will assume that $M_\eta \simeq 100$ GeV and $M_{\nu_{eR}} \simeq 65$ GeV. We will show that for our choice of the parameters, both the above decays constitute almost 100% branching ratios and the emerging final states (electrons and γ 's) are hard as required. It is a necessity to assume the existence of the fourth-generation leptons which in conjunction with $f_{ij} = 0$ guarantees a virtually 100% branching ratio to the $\nu_{eR} \rightarrow \nu_e \gamma$

decay mode and prevents other channels (such as $\nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu e^+ e^-$ etc.) from appearing as final states in ν_{eR} decay. Moreover, the coupling h has to be smaller than ~ 0.1 to suppress decay modes like $\nu_{eR} \rightarrow \nu_e b\bar{b}$. This non-supersymmetric scenario can provide as good an explanation of the CDF $e^+ e^- \gamma\gamma + \cancel{E}_T$ event.

In the simplest version of the model with $f_e, f_{4e}, f_{Ee} \neq 0$, $f_{ij} = 0$ and all other couplings involving the second and third generation leptons switched off, the mass hierarchy mentioned in the previous paragraph implies that all the new heavy particles except the ν_{eR} have tree-level decays to lighter particles by virtue of the interactions in eq. 1. In fact it is required that all heavy particles must decay into lighter ones before ~ 1 second or so since injecting extra energy at the nucleosynthesis era is cosmologically troublesome. Guarded by all these requirements we are now set to see how this model can explain the $e^+ e^- \gamma\gamma + \cancel{E}_T$ event.

The first step is the pair production of η 's by gauge interactions. Since the η has the same gauge quantum number as the \tilde{e}_R , its production cross section is at the 10 fb level for mass of order 100 GeV or so (see e.g. [2, 3, 5] for numerical details). Being lighter than E or N , η will decay to $\nu_{eR} + e$ with a strength proportional to f_e^2 ; we assume that the $M_\eta - M_{\nu_{eR}} \simeq 35$ GeV or so to understand the observed electron energy. Let us now look for the decay of ν_{eR} ; since we set $f_{ij} = 0$ and $M_\eta > M_{\nu_{eR}}$, the only tree level decays for the ν_{eR} are through its mixings with the light neutrino via the see-saw mechanism and these decays can be either Z -mediated or W^\pm -mediated leading to $\nu_{eR} \rightarrow 3\nu$ or $\nu_{eR} \rightarrow \nu l^+ l^-$. The decay widths for these processes are given by: $\Gamma_{3\nu \text{ or } \nu e^+ e^-} \simeq \frac{G_F^2 M_{\nu_{eR}}^5}{192\pi^3} \left(\frac{m_{\nu_L}}{M_{\nu_{eR}}} \right)$; note that they are suppressed by the small neutrino masses. However at the one loop level, one gets the penguin decay $\nu_{eR} \rightarrow \nu_e + \gamma$. The amplitude for this decay arises from the E and η flowing as virtual particles in the loop. This decay is controlled by the heavy fourth generation

masses and its amplitude is estimated to be

$$A(\nu_{eR} \rightarrow \nu_e \gamma) \simeq \frac{f_{4E} f_{Ee} e}{16\pi^2 M_E} \quad (2)$$

Although this is a loop decay, it can dominate the tree level decay which is suppressed by light neutrino masses, mentioned earlier. The one-loop decay width for the ν_{eR} is about $\Gamma_{\nu_{eR}} \simeq 1.8 \times 10^{-10}$ GeV for $f_{4e} \simeq f_{Ee} \simeq 10^{-1}$ for $M_{\nu_{eR}} \simeq 65$ GeV and $M_E \simeq 150$ GeV or so. Note that the presence of the fourth generation lepton is crucial for this purpose. The purely W - and Z -mediated decay widths mentioned above are much smaller than the photonic decay mode if $m_{\nu_L} < 4.5$ KeV for $M_{\nu_{eR}} = 65$ GeV, leading to $\nu_{eR} \rightarrow \nu_e + \gamma$ as the dominant decay mode of the ν_{eR} . The kinematics is similar to the gravitino mode discussed in refs. [2, 3]. We also expect the ν_{eR} to travel about $\sim 10^{-3} (10^{-2}/f_{4e} f_{Ee})^2$ mm before decay. For lower values of the f parameters, one should observe a displaced vertex for the photons from the e^+e^- .

An interesting set of predictions follow if we switch on the muon couplings in the model (i.e. $f_\mu, f_{4\mu}, f_{E\mu} \neq 0$). If we assume analogously that $M_{\nu_{\mu R}} < M_\eta$, we would expect the branching ratio for the electron to muon modes to be proportional to f_e^2/f_μ^2 ; as a result, one would get also $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma\gamma + \cancel{E}_T$ -type events in $p\bar{p}$ collider experiments if the muon-neutrino mass is assumed to be less than 4.5 KeV.

However, the presence of both f_{Ee} and $f_{E\mu}$ will lead to the rare process like $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ or $\mu \rightarrow 3e$. This in turn will put constraints on the simultaneous production of both ee - and $\mu\mu$ -type events. To see these constraints in detail, we calculate the $B(\mu \rightarrow e + \gamma)$ and find that the present upper limit of 4.9×10^{-11} on it implies that $f_{Ee} f_{E\mu} < 6 \times 10^{-5}$ and $f_{Ee} f'_e < 6 \times 10^{-8}$. Once $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ bound is satisfied, $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ is also seen to be satisfied. Requiring the ν_{eR} and the $\nu_{\mu R}$ to decay inside the detector puts the following constraints on the couplings: $f_{4e} f_{Ee} > 8 \times 10^{-6}$ and $f_{4\mu} f_{E\mu} > 8 \times 10^{-6}$. It is possible to satisfy all these constraints simultaneously by appropriately choosing the Yukawa coupling parameters.

In this scenario, one should expect the number of events of ee -, $\mu\mu$ - and $e\mu$ -types to satisfy the relation $N_{e\mu}^2 = N_{ee}N_{\mu\mu}$, which is different from the prediction of the SUSY model [2, 3] where any mixed $e\mu$ -type event will arise only from the $\tau\tau$ -type events. In our case number of $\tau\tau$ -type events will be proportional to another parameter f_τ and is therefore arbitrary. The relative number of $ee\gamma\gamma$ - and $\mu\mu\gamma\gamma$ -type events can therefore be used to distinguish this model from its SUSY counterpart.

A few additional comments regarding the model are in order:

- (i) The new Yukawa interaction will induce corrections to $Z \rightarrow ee, \mu\mu$ and also to $Z \rightarrow inv.$ at the one-loop level via η - and L_4 - mediated triangles. For example, the tree level coupling $a_L^e = t_3^e - Q_e \sin^2 \theta_W$ of Z to the left-handed electron is modified by $\sim f_{Ee}^2/16\pi^2 = 6.3 \times 10^{-5}$ for $f_{Ee} \sim 10^{-1}$. It is perfectly compatible with the precision of leptonic branching ratio of Z at LEP which is presently at the per mille level. Flavor-violating $Z \rightarrow e\mu$ will also be induced for simultaneous presence of e - and μ -related new Yukawa couplings generating an effect of order $\sim (f_{Ee}f_{E\mu}/16\pi^2)^2$ and the condition of satisfying $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ automatically takes care of its consistency with experiment. The new Yukawa couplings also lead corrections to $g-2$ of electron of order $\simeq \frac{f_e^2 m_e^2}{16\pi^2 M_\eta^2}$ which is at the level of 10^{-15} for our choice of parameters satisfying present measurements.
- (ii) The standard neutrinos are massive in this model. However, their masses are arbitrary since they depend on the values of the corresponding Dirac masses from the see-saw formula and hence can be tuned to the desired values.
- (iii) A recent publication by the L3 Collaboration of LEP [6] gives experimental lower limits on the masses of the sequential leptons E and N from their non-observation. They exclude the range $M_E < 61$ GeV and $M_N < 48.6$ GeV on the basis of nearly 6 pb $^{-1}$ data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 130 - 136$ GeV run at LEP last year. Since we assume these masses in the 100 GeV range, our model is consistent with these bounds. The

possibility of observing the sequential leptons in the oncoming phases of LEP2 run have been investigated [7] with the conclusion that their mass reach could go very close to their kinematic limits under favorable conditions.

(iv) It may be noted that the masses of the fourth generation leptons are bounded by the electroweak symmetry breaking scale. As far as the neutrino states of the fourth generation are concerned, the masses of the two Majorana eigenstates are $M_{N_1} \simeq v_{wk}^2/M$ and $M_{N_2} \simeq M$, induced by the see-saw mechanism. The requirement that the lighter one is heavier than $M_Z/2$ (from the Z -invisible width constraint at LEP) implies an upper bound $M_{N_2} < 2v_{wk}^2/M_Z \simeq 1.3$ TeV on the heavier eigenstate [8]. So future colliders, e.g. NLC have chances to see them under favorable conditions.

In conclusion, we have presented a non-supersymmetric interpretation of the CDF $e^+e^-\gamma\gamma + \cancel{E}_T$ event by invoking new physics at the electroweak scale in the context of an extended particle content for the SM that has a fourth sequential fermion generation and massive Majorana right-handed neutrinos and a singly charged scalar. The kinematics of our model can be set exactly analogous to the SUSY scenario while fitting the CDF event – the singly charged scalar playing the role of selectron and the right-handed neutrino acting as a counter-part of the next to lightest supersymmetric particle. We admit that our scenario is quite *ad hoc* and tailored to fit the CDF $e^+e^-\gamma\gamma + \cancel{E}_T$ event. However, it has some features quite distinct from SUSY and, if this type of ‘zoo event’ shows up in large number, it may be possible to distinguish between the two scenarios.

Acknowledgement

The work of R. N. M. is supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation and a Distinguished Faculty Research Award by the University of Maryland. G. B. would like to acknowledge the hospitality of the University of Maryland where

most of the work was done and thank Riccardo Barbieri for a careful reading of the manuscript and suggesting improvements.

References

- [1] S. Park, ‘Search for New Phenomena in CDF’, 10th Topical Workshop on Proton-Anti-proton Collider Physics, edited by Rajendran Raja and Jon Yoh, AIP Press, 1995; J. Conway, Invited talk at SUSY96, May 29 - June 1 (1996) College Park, Maryland (to appear in the proceedings).
- [2] S. Dimopoulos et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3494 (1996).
- [3] S. Ambrosanio et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3498 (1996).
- [4] P. Langacker, Proceedings of SUSY95, hep-ph/9511207.
- [5] K. S. Babu, C. Kolda and F. Wilczek, hep-ph/9605408; S. Dimopoulos, S. Thomas and J. Wells, hep-ph/9604452; S. Ambrosanio et al., hep-ph/9605398.
- [6] The L3 Collaboration, CERN-PPE/96-38 (1996).
- [7] G. Bhattacharyya and D. Choudhury, CERN-TH/95-306, hep-ph/9512317, to appear in Nucl. Phys. **B**.
- [8] R. N. Mohapatra and X. Zhang, Phys. Lett. **305**, 106 (1993).